

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_70991
Title of the Manuscript:	MUMMIFICATION IN A CASE OF HANGING – A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Must fix the grammar errors. I will mention a few of them here,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Mummification refers to all natural and artificial processes that bring about preservation of the body or its parts. Mummification refers to all-natural and artificial processes that bring about the preservation of the body or its parts. 2. We are presenting here a case of medicolegal autopsy performed at mortuary of MGIMS, Sevagram We are presenting here a case of a medicolegal autopsy performed at the mortuary of MGIMS, Sevagram. 3. The body was found in jungle in hanging position to branch of tree. Body was completely mummified. The body was found in the jungle in a hanging position to the branch of a tree. 4. Facial identification could be making out as facial features were well maintained. Facial identification could be made out as facial features were well maintained. <p>These were a few mistakes I found in the abstract alone. There is more. Fix the tenses and look into subject-verb agreement. Try witing shorter sentences to reduce grammar mistakes. You can also take the help of online grammar softwares.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Conclusion need not be mentioned in the abstract. Only the objectives and an introduction must be mentioned. Separate headings need not be mentioned, one paragraph without individual subheadings is appropriate for an abstract.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Overall, the paper is written in an informative, academic way. The grammar mistakes must be rectified, and the conclusion could be more detailed. The layout of the abstract must be one single paragraph.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sruthi Nair
Department, University & Country	Amity University, India