

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_70959
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF PROBIOTIC (SACCHAROMYCES BOULARDII) ADMINISTRATION IN PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC DIARRHEA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Dear Editor, dear Authors,</p> <p>I have carefully read the IMPACT OF PROBIOTIC (SACCHAROMYCES BOULARDII) ADMINISTRATION IN PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC DIARRHEA manuscript. The topic seems interesting, unfortunately the data reported by the Authors are very lacking, exposed in a superficial and scientifically unattractive way. Some references in the manuscript are incomprehensible, such as the Methodology section repeated without an understandable reason; I believe that the Conclusions are from another project and it would be appropriate that the authors justify the improvements they report in the control group. It would also be advisable, for a better understanding of the results, that the 'WHO-CDD protocol' should also be clarified.</p> <p>I also believe that a stratification of patients in reference to age and a more precise description of the therapeutic treatments prior to enrollment in the study would be appropriate.</p> <p>Finally, similar scientific reports are present in the literature as reported by the Authors</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lorenzo Polimeno
Department, University & Country	University of Bari Aldo Moro, Italy