

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_70117
Title of the Manuscript:	Method Development and Validation of Degradation Studies of Imatinib Mesylate by UHPLC
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Though the author mentioned that there is no conflict of interest and it is just a study for the advancement of knowledge, the author should have mentioned few points regarding the degradation of Imatinib mesylate in the introduction section.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The title of the study is "Method Development and Validation of Degradation Studies of Imatinib Mesylate by UHPLC". The author concluded that UHPLC is the reliable method for regular quality control. But the conclusion does not contain any points regarding the degradation studies. So for better understanding of the article, the author can quote few points regarding that.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	It is known that both UPLC and UHPLC are same. The author mentioned UPLC in the contents and UHPLC in the title part. This may confuse the readers. So if the author wants to mention it as UPLC, she/he can give the similarity in a bracket.	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Swarnalakshmi A S
Department, University & Country	Periyar University, India