



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_67583
Title of the Manuscript:	Posterior Gastric Perforation – Rare Surgical Emergency: A Case Report
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Regarding the case the patient is reported as a 74 year old male in the abstract. However, in the case presentation the patient is presented as a 74 year old woman. Was it a man or a woman that was operated upon?</p> <p>The work would benefit from a more detailed case history. Was the patient previously healthy or had he or she any chronic disease or on medication?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Regarding the surgical procedure; Was there any biopsy taken from the ulcer to exclude a gastric carcinoma? Why was the perforation covered with an omental patch instead of a simple closure of the perforation?	
Optional/General comments	A praise for the treatment result. Several authors report hospital mortality of 30 % or more for patients older than 70 years treated with surgery for a gastric perforation.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thomas Zilling
Department, University & Country	Lund University, Sweden