



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_67583
Title of the Manuscript:	Posterior Gastric Perforation – Rare Surgical Emergency: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	To select keywords , terms equivalent to those in the list of descriptors of the <i>Index Medicus</i> must be used. These terms are available at: www.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/meshhome.html We suggest using some of the following terms: Ulcer, Peptic Ulcer Perforation, Stomach Ulcer, Stomach Diseases, Abdominal Injuries, Laparotomy. The introduction should include some reference to the principles of medical ethics taken into account for the publication of this case.	
Minor REVISION comments	The citations in the article should be controlled and must follow an ordered number sequence. For example: from appointment number 8 goes to appointment number 10 and then returns to number 9.	
Optional/General comments	The topic addressed is relevant and topical. Documents that provide decisive evidence are used to carry out the research and avoid irrelevant references. The article presents the knowledge in a critical and synthetic way and complies with the standards established for this type of publication. Although the bibliographic references used in the article are generally little updated: (More than 10 years: 44%, Between 10 and 5 years: 25%, Less than 5 years: 31%), this situation does not invalidate the relevance of the reported case.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



SDI Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jesús Enrique Pérez Bastán
Department, University & Country	Bastán Policlínico Universitario "Marcios Manduley, Cuba And Universidad de Ciencias Médicas de La Habana, Facultad de Ciencias Médicas "General Calixto García", Cuba