



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_67582
Title of the Manuscript:	Vitamin D , a predictor of outcome in COVID-19 patients
Type of the Article	Case control study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This research is exploring the connection between the Vit D deficiency and fatality of COVID19. It is an interesting point of view but the study is rather wordless.</p> <p>Introduction – must be enlarged with study on the relation between COV19 and complications, as well as Vit D deficiency.</p> <p>Methodology – needs complete rewriting. It is like a bullet and do not allow readers to repeat the study if they want to check. Period of data collection, selection of patients, how was the OR calculated, prognostic factors? Every stage of the study must be explained in detail to be able to understand what happens.</p> <p>Results – The results must be explained in more details. It is just one sentence per fig and table that is repeating their content. Fig. are too big and unclear – for example on Fig 2 what “both 10%” means? Table 2 is completely unclear? In my opinion the work will benefit if a Table 1 is added in the beginning with detailed patients demographic – gender, age, length of stay in hospital, Vit D def confirmed, type of Vit D def etc. It will allow the readers to understand the general picture without looking at too many and unclear Graphs.</p> <p>In the beginning of the results also could be added a figure with the patient distribution per case and per control groups and their characteristics.</p> <p>Discussion might be also enlarged with comments not only to Vit D deficiency but also on complications and supported by other authors findings.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Grammar needs revision.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guenka Petrova
Department, University & Country	Medical University of Sofia, Bulgaria