



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_67582
Title of the Manuscript:	Vitamin D , a predictor of outcome in COVID-19 patients
Type of the Article	Case control study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Study could be written in better English language. There are many grammatical errors and confusingly written sentences from which clear message is not easily understood. Thorough checkup and improvement is advised, preferably from a professional.</p> <p>The Abstract is large and confusing. Some information is repeated and does not convey the message clearly to the reader. I suggest rewriting.</p> <p>Authors did not elaborate hypotheses and at the end of the introduction it is necessary to justify the importance of this study using information based on the literature.</p> <p>In the methods, the authors repeat the same information more than once. I suggest simplifying and making it clear how the data collection and analysis procedures were carried out.</p> <p>I suggest carefully reviewing the results tables and descriptions of the results found so that it is possible to improve.</p> <p>The discussion needs to better contextualize the findings of the literature and relate to the findings of the present study. It is not ideal to just describe the findings in the literature.</p> <p>Conclusion says nothing about COVID-19.</p> <p>What is the strength of this study?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The language, spelling and formatting must be checked.</p> <p>Observe in detail if all the abbreviations were explained when they first appeared in the text.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>There is a STROBE Statement checklist that serves as a Checklist for making a case control study. I suggest checking to improve the quality of the report, with respect to integrity and transparency, and reduce bias.</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ana Carolina Coelho
Department, University & Country	Brazil