



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_67573
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Proximal radio-median cubital/radio-cephalic Arterio-venous Fistula
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>It is a good article with a good sample of research, just include some terms that define the acronyms used.</p> <p>Suggests: To check the Vancouver format for Tables and the title (Comparison of patients characteristics with other authors) is better to include chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), central venous stenosis (CVS), chronic glomerulonephritis (CGN) to locate readers who are from other health professions</p> <p>Include a brief description of the procedure in the Figure 1</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Review the tables in Vancouver format and unify the format. Review the titles of the graphs.</p> <p>Check that the acronym for medical terminology is followed by what the term means</p> <p>It is suggested to expand the conclusions according to the findings and comparison with other studies, surgical techniques, post-surgical care, among others.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>According to ethical: In the Criteria of exclusion: exclude the patient do not sign the informed consent(ethical of confidentiality)</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Patricia Durán Ospina
Department, University & Country	Technical University of Manabí, Ecuador