



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_62579
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH SURGICAL TREATMENT OUTCOME OF PSOAS MUSCLE ABSCESS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article title is not, in my mind, the best one. It is vague and misleading and some rephrasing is required with more focus on primary / secondary psoas abscess.</p> <p>The data presented is somewhat difficult to understand, you present patient demographics and general surgical parameters but it's unclear which of these you consider to affect the treatment outcome. It is also unclear what proportion of your study group had a previous history of pulmonary or other localization TB infection from the text.</p> <p>Looking at the tables I see no clear indications of the factors the authors are mentioning. Tables should present information in a synoptic way to allow readers to quickly understand the topic.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Abbreviations used should be explained, including those in tables (eg: TB, POD etc)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Molnar Calin M</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Târgu Mureș, Romania</i>