

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80238
Title of the Manuscript:	An audit on HIV infection
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract should be concise with important data. It would be nice if authors write the construct abstract as "background, objective, results, conclusion". Current one is represented mainly as "prevention of HIV transmission"; therefore the title of this review should be changed into something about "An audit on procedures to prevent HIV transmission" 2. Please add the objective of this review. Since there is no objective of the review now, the readers may be confused what the main idea is. The searching methods for the relevant articles mentioned in this paper must be added. 3. Strongly recommend omitting the redundant sentences. For example, introduction part, the first-two paragraphs ("Human immunodeficiency virus is a virus.... to a weakened immune system") provide the similar context with the third paragraph ("The causative agent for acquired immunodeficiency syndrome is...."). There are currently many redundant sentences scattering everywhere in this manuscript. Authors must be rewrite, rearrange the data, and present the core context at once. 4. Please improve the figure presentation. I do not know the main purpose of the authors to put the figure 1 (page 2) and figure 2 (page 5) in the manuscript since they are not mainly related to the "prevention of HIV transmission". They stated the general knowledge about what the structure and life cycle of the HIV. Please also confirm the originality or the copyright of the figures. 5. Please state the number of figure and appropriate legend. Page 2 from "GP 120... to RNA", I think it should be only figure legend, not the main text. 6. Please write as the paragraph rather than the bullets in the manuscript. 7. At the end of page 4, please clarify "INDICATIONS". What is the meaning of the "indications" here since the authors mention about the symptoms? 8. Page 5, please clarify "Manifestation". What are the manifestations mentioned here? Opportunistic infection or AIDS or others? 9. Page 7, the HIV test, authors stated as the same as the instruction in the package insert of the test which were not appropriate in the manuscript. Please consider the formal paragraph to mention about the investigation and provide more details about sensitivity and specificity of each method. 10. Page 7, treatment, authors should update the current guideline of antiretroviral drugs. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please mention full name in first mention. thereafter use abbreviations. 2. Please remove unnecessary capital letters 3. I am not sure about the sufficient and appropriate references of this manuscript. 4. Please check the reference styles. 	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tippawan Siritientong
Department, University & Country	Chulalongkorn University, Thailand