

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80238
Title of the Manuscript:	An audit on HIV infection
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Every scientific statement that is not original to the author(s) should be cited and a reference provided in the Reference section of the manuscript. For instance, from line 34 to line 54, there are loads of independent scientific statements but only on citation in line 54. This is gross! 2. It is only fair, proper and right to acknowledge the source of any images used in the manuscript apart from describing the images so that their legends are self-explanatory. Examples are the structure of HIV and Life Cycle of HIV images. 3. There needs to be a clear definition as to whether this work is a review. All there is literature that is not well cited and referenced all through the text. 4. The article lacks the general flow (Introduction-Methodology-Discussion-Conclusion) 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Under HIV routes of infection, part C Sexual intercourse (oral and vaginal)... is anal intercourse also a possible route? 2. Can the author(s) validate the statement "Zoonotic transmission does not take place." Considering that HIV has a probable zoonotic origin? 3. The title does not rhyme with the content. There seems to be no HIV audit done here. No review either. 4. On line 112, sentence starts with a digit (13). You don't start a sentence with a number. 5. Author(s) should make sure that journal requirements in citing and referencing other works 6. If you intend to use an abbreviation, the name is written in full followed by the abbreviation in parenthesis. 7. 	
Optional/General comments	<p>The article may not add much value as it is.</p> <p>I would recommend that the author(s) approach this as a review. The review could cover a country or region to make it more specific.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	James Munyao Kingoo
Department, University & Country	The Technical University of Kenya, Kenya