

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_79714
Title of the Manuscript:	ISOLATION AND CHARECTERIZATION OF PHYTOCONSTITUENTS FROM ANNONA RETICULATA L. LEAF EXTRACTS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors should review some points, especially those reported below as well as the comments throughout the work that will not be arranged here because they are small-scale</p> <p>The introduction is confusing, improve the same, places gaps that the work will fill and mainly improve the writing.</p> <p>The results and discussion are very incipient, write more about both. Preferably separate them so that reader understanding is simplified</p> <p>With the improvement of the other sections, in particular the introduction to use more references, works done in the last 5 years</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The material and methods are within the normal range, however it can be improved in its writing and clarity of how the analyses were made</p> <p>Format table 1, improve it data.</p> <p>Writing can also be verified in general as to your language</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dione Dambrós Raddatz
Department, University & Country	University Of Brasilia, Brazil