

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI-79584
Title of the Manuscript:	Holistic Approach Via Physiotherapeutic Intervention in A Complex Multiple Fracture Hampering A Middle-Classman's Life- A Case Report.
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is an intriguing study, as the authors used cutting-edge methodology to acquire a unique dataset. The study's findings revealed that combining a definitive surgical approach with early physiotherapy rehabilitation improved the patient's functional outcome and provided him with a detailed recovery, including pain relief, increased range of motion, strength, and weight bearing on his injured upper and lower extremities. Moreover, the patient was able to do his regular chores in a far more efficient manner. The manuscript is well-written and structured in general. However, I believe the work has several flaws in terms of data format and language writing, and I believe that this unique dataset has not been fully utilized. I've included some examples below.</p> <p>Comment 1: Please focus the abstract on your study and outcomes in the Abstract section. The methodological component, in particular, has a few words that are ambiguous. Instead of an explanation of "fracture analysis," I'd like to see some data on Physiotherapeutic Intervention from this study in the abstract. In general, I recommend concentrating the manuscript on scientific findings related to physiotherapeutic intervention.</p> <p>Comment 2: The manuscript strictly needs extensive revision for language and grammar.</p> <p>For ex...As per my best knowledge the study was conducted on 35 yrs old male patient... in Conclusion section instead of his ...her is written 'The patient was able to carry out her daily activities in a much more efficient manner.'</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Comment 3: In several places I also suggest citing more recent and relevant literature.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Madhulika Singh
Department, University & Country	Maharishi University of Information Technology, India