

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77808
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of antitumour activity of ethanolic extract from Tribulus terrestris in human breast cancer cells
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In introduction, Give Back ground/ history and importance of the work in relation to recent scenario, describing what is new and novel in your work. In 1st para of introduction some sentences are not referenced. In 3rd para remove irrelevant references. Kindly do it.</p> <p>In the last para of introduction give lacunae/ shortcomings in the literature, and provide the rationale of taking up the present study.</p> <p>In material and methods, The explanation of the methods should be technically written. "Soxhlet apparatus operated at room temperature" Kindly relook into this sentence. Representation of units should be uniform through the manuscript. All the Abbreviations should be defined in the manuscript when it is first used.</p> <p>In results and discussion, Discussion has to be done elaborately with respect to the figure and table indicated in the results. Interpret your own present data/ findings with/ findings of previous workers / researchers, citing their references (from old to recent) in chronological order.</p> <p>Statistical analysis explanation not there in results and discussion. Kindly do it.</p> <p>Conclusion can be written in a better way, keeping in mind the objectives defined and results obtained in this study.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The text references can be properly assigned wherever required.</p> <p>Recent/ relevant references can be used to support the work.</p> <p>Put scientific names in italics.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anil R Shet
Department, University & Country	K L E Technological University, India