

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77592
Title of the Manuscript:	In Vitro Conservation of Phytochemically Enriched Orchids of Indian Western Himalayas
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>A manuscript is dedicated to a weakly studied topic, i.e. conservation techniques used for saving orchid species from getting extinct in the Indian Western Himalayas. The authors intended to review the current state of the problem based on poor literature data.</p> <p>Unfortunately, at the moment this manuscript cannot be assessed as ready for publication. First, it is written very inaccurately. Deep work is needed on its style and language. I strongly recommend that you ask a native speaker to review this text before resending it. Apart from the language, some topics are written in a very vague manner. In my opinion, this article barely achieves its goal of reviewing the current state of the problem. This text needs a very serious revision before it is re-reviewed and evaluated for publication in JPRI. Probably it is worth changing the title, as this paper is more about chemical compounds found in orchids rather than protecting them. I also suggest referring to the source texts concerning the identified chemical compounds in orchids, their list is much more extensive. Very many comments and corrections are available in a file of a manuscript (see attached).</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Very many comments and corrections are available in a file of a manuscript (see attached).</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anna Jakubska-Busse
Department, University & Country	University of Wroclaw, Poland