

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76966
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIMUTAGENIC ACTIVITY OF CASSIA AURICULATA LINNFRACTIONS ALONG WITH ANTICANCER ACTIVITY
Type of the Article	Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>1-Title must include the animal used and Ethics certificate.</p> <p>2- Abreviation must write in complete words in the first time.</p> <p>3- Introduction contains similarities need to reformulate with new references.</p> <p>4-Methods unclear and sample used are old need to reformulate supports with references.</p> <p>5- The author use dose 5, 50 then jump to 300 where 150,200, 250 Why not use them in his survey.</p> <p>6- Author not report the number of experimental animals (Mice) used and their body weight at the start of experiment need reformulate.</p> <p>7- Author reported two groups in methods each three mice (one mice/group) and 6 groups were reported in tables each contain 6 mices need to reformulate.</p> <p>8- Author not reported the method of statistical analysis used and the reference.</p> <p>9-The manuscript need to reformulate in addition to the red colour correction in the text.</p> <p>10- References are old ranged from 1993 to 2015 only need to new references till now.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	1-All species of organisms must be write in Italic font.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript does not provide a new scientific addition.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.6**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sorial Adly Moharib</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Research Centre, Egypt</b>