

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76413
Title of the Manuscript:	Acute Asthma Exacerbations in Children Younger than 12 Years: Emergency Department Management
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract was clearly written to include objective, methodology, significant findings, discussion, and conclusion. Minor amendments should be done in the manuscript</p> <p>The background information, problem statements, significance of the study, and objectives of the study. Overall, it reflects well to the content of the manuscript. Minor amendments should be done.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Correct the unclear statement. - There is info can be summarized. - Unclear statements should be amended. - The objective was not reflected the manuscript title <p>The use of methods was satisfactory and acceptable. The experimental done was appropriate and acceptable within a scope of study. There are procedures in this part should be checked and improved.</p> <p>The results have answered the stated study's objectives.</p> <p>There is lack of critical discussion following the explanation of results.</p> <p>Discussion should be improved. There are many unclear statements.</p> <p>The study conclusion did contribute significantly to the field.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Mohd Adzim Khalili Bin Rohin</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin, Malaysia</i>