

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_86610
Title of the Manuscript:	HISTOPATHOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF ORGAN IMPAIRMENT OF Rattus albus TREATED WITH SELECTED MEDICINAL PLANTS
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p>	<p>1. The English need improvement since there are some grammatical and syntax errors in the manuscript. For example, the word "soxhlet" may be as "the soxhlet"; "paraffin" as "the paraffin"; "livers" as "the livers"; "abnormal" as "an abnormal"; "on the" as "in the"; "intestine" as "the intestine"; "kidneys" as "in kidneys"; "liver" as "in liver"; "a cough" as "cough"; "bitter" as "a bitter"; "development" as "the development"; "to better" as "for a better"; "Histopathological" as "a Histopathological"; "boundary" as "a boundary"; "thermostatically" as "a thermostatically"; "fine" as "a fine"; "using 1mm" as "using a 1mm"; "for Histopathological" as "for the Histopathological"; "automatic" as "an automatic"; "administered on" as "administered to"; "fixative" as "a fixative"; "warm" as "a warm"; "stored in" as "and stored in"; "examination" as "the examination"; "digital" as "a digital"; "relationship" as "the relationship"; "intestine" as "the intestine"; "liver" as "the liver"; "on laboratory" as "in laboratory"; "had abnormal" as "had an abnormal"; "chi square showed significant" as "chi-square showed a significant"; "on the liver" as "in the liver"; "by intestine" as "by the intestine"; "on the kidneys" as "in the kidneys"; "strong" as "a strong"; "degree" as "the degree"; "administered increased" as "administered increases"; "of impairment increases" as "of impairment increased"; "on liver" as "in the liver"; "in plates" as "on plates"; "caused on" as "caused to"; "observed on" as "observed in"; "Traditional" as "The traditional"; "national" as "the national"; "production" as "the production"; "may be as" as "maybe"; "on male" as "in male"; "difference" as "the difference"; "on kidneys" as "in the kidneys"; "fairly" as "a fairly"; "observed" as "were observed"; "on the liver" as "in the liver"; "observed on" as "observed in"; "mitigation" as "the mitigation"; "reduction" as "the reduction"; "caused on" as "caused by"; "personal" as "the personal". The grammar mistakes which are not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.</p> <p>2. There are some typing mistakes as well, and authors are advised to carefully proofread the text. For example, the words "method.They" may be as "method. They"; "that,all" as "that all"; ".Organ" as ". Organ"; "that," as "that"; "chi square" as "chi-square"; "significant" as "a significant"; "degenerative" as "degenerative"; "concentration" as "concentrations"; ".The" as ". The"; "and" as "and"; "therefore" as "therefore"; "2011).Traditional" as "2011). Traditional"; "usage" as "uses"; "anti - parasitic" as "anti-parasitic"; ".Themedical" as ". The medical"; "anti- helmintics" as "anti-helminthics"; "farm land" as "farmland"; "screw capped" as "screw-capped"; "Also" as "Also,"; "apprently" as "apparently"; "Innoculation" as "Inoculation"; "acclimitized" as "acclimatized"; "guaze" as "gauze"; "dessicator" as "desiccator"; "sedeted" as "sedated"; ".When" as ". When"; "scapel" as "scalpel"; "mid line" as "midline"; "Sectionning" as "Sectioning"; "grease - free" as "grease-free"; "eye piece" as "eyepiece"; "cross tabulation" as "cross-tabulation"; "p value" as "p-value"; "kidneyof" as "kidney of"; "chemotherapeautic" as "chemotherapeutic"; "two - way" as "two-way"; "sex - related" as "sex-related"; "normal intestine" as "normal intestines"; "photo - micrographs" as "photo-micrographs"; "concentration (30 µg)" as "concentrations (30 µg)"; "especially" as "especially"; "build up" as "build-up"; "cyto-protective" as "cytoprotective"; "radical there by" as "radicals thereby"; "assaults.Studies" as "assault. Studies"; "degenerative" as "degenerative"; "setup" as "set up"; "use products" as "used products". The typos not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.</p> <p>3. The software with version may be included in the statistical analysis (material and methods).</p> <p>4. The statement of ethical clearance should be added in the materials and methods, if ethical number is available can also are included.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>	<p>1. Check the abbreviations throughout the manuscript and introduce the abbreviation when the full word appears the first time in the text and then use only the abbreviation (For example, Guillian-Barre syndrome (GBS), NCV, CSF etc.). And it should be in both abstract as well as in the remaining part of the manuscript. Make a word abbreviated in the article that is repeated at least three times in the text, not all words need to be abbreviated.</p> <p>2. The full form of the species should be given when the first time appears in both the abstract and in the remaining part of the manuscript and it should be followed by only the first letter of the genus (For example, <i>Napleonaea imperalis</i>, <i>Sida acuta</i> and <i>Vernonia amygdalina</i> when the first time appears and followed by <i>N. imperalis</i>, <i>S. acuta</i> and <i>V. amygdalina</i>, etc.).</p> <p>3. The conclusion section appears to be just a detailed summary of results/observations. Moreover, the authors may be included the limitation of the present findings and future direction for a better understanding of the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The authors have put effort to evaluate the "HISTOPATHOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF ORGAN IMPAIRMENT OF Rattus albus TREATED WITH SELECTED MEDICINAL PLANTS". The authors describe the work undertaken with this in an organized manner, emphasizing the results obtained by them. The article needs modification for better cohesion of information to achieve the goal and the shortcomings which need to be considered. Hence, the paper can be accepted after MAJOR REVISIONS are carried out.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. Vijaya Anand
Department, University & Country	Bharathiar University, India