

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_86094
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF THE RISK OF DEVELOPING CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE IN PREDIABETIC AND DIABETIC WISTAR RATS WITH INSULINAEMIA, IN A SELECTED MODEL
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Whole manuscript is to be drafted by native English speaker as the use of synonyms changes the meaning of sentences (Marked in red font- those synonyms are irrational).2. Ethical permission and IAEC no should be included in manuscript3. Experimental design and scrutiny of animals throughout the manuscript is not clear.4. In abstract, authors mentioned about histopathological studies but no data showed in this. Explain	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In abstract, 2nd line need revision as it is grammatically incorrect2. Incorporate references in methodology section. Toxicity studies conducted in accordance to OECD guidelines and mention references also3. Statistical analysis conducted by which software and which posthoc test used. Please explain in methodology section4. Superscript a,b,c,d denotes comparison with which group. Explain5. Reframe conflict of interest. Who are the producers of product. Please explain	
Optional/General comments	Improve grammatical and spelling error throughout the manuscript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jamal Akhtar
Department, University & Country	India