

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_81542
Title of the Manuscript:	PREPARATION AND STANDARDIZATION OF AN ANTI-OXIDANT POLY-HERBAL FORMULATION: PROSPECTIVE ANTI-CANCER MEDICINE.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Mention the different parts of the plants selected for the poly herbal formulation 2. How did you prepare herbal formulation, write formulation process in detail and the working formula and final weight of the formulation. 3. Include the criteria for selection of powdered form of the plant instead of extract in the formulation, justify your selection. 4. Give a detailed procedure for the evaluation of cytotoxicity studies 5. In the discussion section, detailed discussion of results regarding each parameter particularly the anti-cancer activity on the cell lines. 6. Add the authentication and voucher number of the plants selected for the formulation 7. Pharmaceutical standardization and <i>in-vitro</i> studies should be conducted in triplicate 8. Include the experimental images for the antibacterial, antifungal activities and cytotoxicity studies if you have. 9., Microscopic evaluation of the polyherbal powder was not given each powder characters you found. 10. In the TLC study respective phytoconstituents names for corresponding spots according to Rf values are not mentioned. 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check for the standards of English language and check for sentence formation and spelling mistakes 2. Add in-vitro and in-vivo words in italics in the context. 3. Maintain uniformity in references regarding the name of the plants (should be in italics). 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Include the Conclusion part at the end of the manuscript	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B.Ramya Kuber
Department, University & Country	Sri Padmavati Mahila Visvavidyalayam, India