

## Review Form 1.6

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JOCAMR_78465  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | MICROMORPHOLOGICAL AND PHARMACOGNOSTIC STUDIES OF LEAF AND STEM OF <i>Solenostemon monostachyus</i> P. Beauv (LAMIACEAE) |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article  |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Abstract need to concise and focus on important results with its possible future uses.</li> <li>- Key words better to be within 3-4</li> <li>- In introduction part,               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Relevant literature review should be cited with recent references</li> <li>2. Objective of the study should be included</li> <li>3. Nomenclature or kingdom classification and picture of <i>Solenostemon monostachyus</i> has no importance, thus should be omitted.</li> </ol> </li> <li>-In results and discussion part,               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. All the figures and tables should be in this part, not in materials and method part.</li> <li>2. Before discussing, it is better to explain in brief all the figures and tables separately.</li> <li>3. While discussing, it is better to compare all the results with other researchers Results, individually.</li> </ol> </li> <li>-In conclusion part, it is better to focus future further research and importance of present research.</li> <li>-In reference section, it better to cite more recent one.</li> </ul> |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      |  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |  |   |

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Zakaria Ahmed</b>                                  |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Bangladesh Jute Research Institute, Bangladesh</b> |