

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_77489
Title of the Manuscript:	Naturopathic Birth Control Methods Among Young Adults in The Ningo- Prampram Municipality of the Greater Accra Region.
Type of the Article	Reproductive Health

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The Author requires to reduce wording which Are put forward at the beginning of various sections as most readers already know what is to be expected for each section</p> <p>There is inconsistent way of citation for various documents cited. The author ought to review and consistently use the citation chosen.</p> <p>The sections are proceeded with a narration on the requirements for the section which is unnecessarily Making the document to be bulky eg The research design according to Coryn et al (2017) is the plan and structure of investigation conceived so as to obtain answers to research questions. The design of a research specifies the methods and procedures for acquiring the operational framework of the project that stipulates what information is to be collected from which source and by what procedure</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>The author need to consistently guide the reader on use of natural birth control methods or dwell on effectiveness. Or should clearly state on how each of them is being measured in this study.</p> <p>There should be some consistency in the aim/objective/ research question.</p> <p>The author needs to mention clearly these references for reproducibility</p> <p>The objectives were not SMART and clear in a way for instance; Does the use of natural birth control methods among young adults connotate for effectiveness of the methods.</p> <p>The author may consider citing or mentioning required specifics eg "The measurement items used in the questionnaires were adopted from earlier studies referenced in international journals"</p> <p>The author requires to mention the study population and inclusion criteria</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>The author needs to state how other variables were combined to produce a construct such as sustainability, eg PCA</p> <p>Likert-type scales are useful when one is measuring latent constructs - that is, characteristics of people such as attitudes, feelings, opinions, Perceptions etc. This study needs to mention clearly on how some of the objective were measured eg sustainability, Was it based on a single responded variable? A clear statistical approach needs to state clearly.</p> <p>Regarding reliability: Usually reliability of Likert-type scales is measured using Cronbach's Alpha (internal consistency) which has not been mentioned anywhere.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Martin Mujun Rwabilimbo</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Bukoba Regional Referral Hospital, Tanzania</i>