

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_87216
Title of the Manuscript:	Strategy for the Location of Shelters in Communities of High Seismic Risk in the Central-South Zone of Mexico
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalgeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Tables 1&2 do not provide any useful insight into the issue at hand. They are difficult to read, and the authors do not provide any rationale for including them. The data in these tables do not speak for themselves. One should explain the salience of the information. The Tables disrupt the flow of the paper; perhaps they could be attached as an appendix to the paper. 2. Figure 3 seems to be thrown in just for visual interest. It does not seem to contribute to the conclusions of the paper. 3. What is the significance of Figure 9? How does it contribute to the conclusions (and quality) of the paper? 4. The conclusions of the paper are rather weak, considering the obvious effort that has gone into the research & writing. 5. See also reviewer's comments in red throughout the paper. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Spelling and grammar errors. Use size 12 font. Give your readers a break. The captions of Tables 1&2 are confusing and out of place.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	John R. Labadie
Department, University & Country	USA