

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_82494
Title of the Manuscript:	Vertical Mountain Ranges in the Americas, Mexico, African Highlands, North Central Asia, and Japan, Philippines and Australia and Horizontal Mountain or Slanted Mountain Ranges Elsewhere
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljgeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The manuscript (Ms_JGEESI_82494) does work on Vertical Mountain Ranges in the Americas, Mexico, African Highlands, North Central Asia, and Japan, Philippines and Australia and Horizontal Mountain or Slanted Mountain Ranges Elsewhere it is very small with three pages in total (the title, the summary and the introduction are very similar, they repeat almost the same paragraph). - The manuscript has been based on materials and methods, which are qualitative and descriptive... - The results presented show a small theoretical description of the work area without showing something practical such as percentages, maps, ... - The author has used only two bibliographical references in this manuscript, which leaves the manuscript not well developed and has focused on a theoretical part of the subject. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - - I see that this manuscript does not reach the level of publication in this journal, and it still has a long way to go to develop it. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aziz Benhamrouche
Department, University & Country	Ferhat Abbas University Setif