

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_81582
Title of the Manuscript:	LANDSLIDE DISASTERS' CAUSAL/TRIGGER FACTORS AS UNDERSTOOD BY THE INDIGENOUS PEOPLE IN MURANG'A COUNTY, KENYA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljgeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The article is good and contains important information, but in the abstract it is recommended to reduce the number of words in the abstract, maximum 150. Likewise, structure this section in such a way that the object of study, the problem, the methodology and the results</p> <p>Yes, it is a new job. Provides new information with the analysis. The topic is current and the content is original, constituting a valuable contribution to the risk studies. Bibliographical references are necessary and sufficient. The figures they are of quality, clear and pertinent. The document is attached where some observations and suggestions are included. additional.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>In the introduction, there are some lines that say: Landslide studies are available only in areas where development projects are about to start. It is a very risky assimilation, so it is important to add some extra citations.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Julio Cesar Morales Hernández
Department, University & Country	University of Guadalajara, México