

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_81459
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Analysis of the Molodensky and Kotsakis Ellipsoidal Heights Transformation between Geocentric and Non-Geocentric Datums Models
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljgeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The work presented in the manuscript is quite interesting. The authors can incorporate the following comments in their revised manuscript. It will help to improve the overall quality of the manuscript.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Manuscript is well written and interesting. Authors are advised to include full form of any abbreviations at the time of first usage 2. Fig.2 & Fig. 3 can be replaced with a better one. It is not conventional to provide such figures obtained through screenshots of computer screen. Better it can be provided in a table manner itself. 3. Comparative analysis can be expressed using a figure. Better authors are advised to provide more number of comparisons to increase the reader's confidence. 4. Literature work can be extended and more detailed. 5. Recent references can get included. Some of the recent references are not getting quoted properly. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Fantin Irudaya Raj. E</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Dr. Sivanthi Aditanar College Of Engineering, India</i>