

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_79389
Title of the Manuscript:	The Environmental and Socio economic Signatures of Landcover and Landuse Dynamics in the Ndu Tea Estate, North West Cameroon
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljgeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Rewrite the abstract it seems thesis work it needs, explicit revision.</b> Where is the Land use Dynamics? I did not find in the paper. So its lacks novelty, where is the scientific explanation and supporting documents. Means that without the given maps; the result and discussion parts are shallow and lacks detail narrations and idea explanation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The gaps are not clearly and explicitly indicated</li> <li>- What motivates you to conduct this research or the rationality is not indicated explicitly</li> <li>- You have said that 'findings suggest the need for a proper planning and monitoring of the activities of all stakeholders to ensure effective management' who do what? Its blind recommendation</li> </ul> <p>Cameroon also known as 'Africa in miniature' <b>source?</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Paragraphs in the introduction part are long and different ideas glued together. Please make it short and explanatory</li> <li>- Table 1 and Fig 8 are the same data (<b>land use in NSD (1988 to 2018)</b>) in different presentation form. Use either of them</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Impact of Tea Cultivation: Economic, social and land tenure conflict. It lacks validity (Discussion). What previous findings said? Where is the discussion?</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Esubalew Tadele</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Debre Markos University, Ethiopia</i></b>