

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_76309
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of different WQI methods for drinking water assessment with a case study of groundwater from Vizianagaram District, AP, India.
Type of the Article	Research article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The subject is very interesting but there are improvements to be made in the document before any publication of the manuscript</p> <p>figure 1 should be mentioned in the study area</p> <p>The location map should also show the major urban centers or important cities in the district</p> <p>It is necessary to put the references of the table of the step 5</p> <p>The entire section Variations in different WQI methods should not be included in the results and discussion but rather in the materials and methods section. This part is the methodology of your work.</p> <p>Table 2 could be presented more simply, by putting numbers or codes for each village. Like the methods</p> <p>The term many researchers is not appropriate, they should be cited because you are discussing your results.</p> <p>In your work you have measured calcium and magnesium but why do you still want to use the hardness which uses these two parameters.</p> <p>Tell us why you chose these different parameters.</p> <p>You say that the study area is a rural environment but why don't you measure phosphates, ammonium and nitrites, which are dangerous parameters for the consumption of drinking water.</p> <p>The bibliographic references are complete but they must be harmonized by writing the names of the articles in full.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>It is necessary to put the references of the table of the step 5</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Mangoua Oi Mangoua Jules</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Jean Lorougnon Guédé University , Côte d'Ivoire</i></b>