

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_76309
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of different WQI methods for drinking water assessment with a case study of groundwater from Vizianagaram District, AP, India.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In our view, the water quality indices must be regionalized and directed to the use for which that water is intended. Sometimes in the same state or region, different kind of soils can generate different characterization of natural waters.</p> <p>For this reason, it is important that the authors make a better characterization of the indices being compared. Then, authors should make a better description and characterization of each one of the methods, including the regional characterization of places that originating the methods.</p> <p>From the description of the study area, it is easy to see that the land use is more agricultural than urban. This is a good explanation for the fact that the indices are not as effective for assessing water quality.</p> <p>We recommend that the authors expand their bibliographic review, both in terms of updating, as the review is out of date, and in terms of international scope, seeking to present works from other countries and regions of the planet, which have already been doing the regional approach and in function of land use as discussed above. A good proofreading in the English language also seems to be necessary.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eduardo Cyrino Oliveira-Filho
Department, University & Country	Brazil