

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_89911
Title of the Manuscript:	Important of International Treaties and Declarations for Adaptive Reuse in Historical Buildings: Berlin Parliament Building (Reichstag) and Santral Istanbul Examples
Type of the Article	Analytic & Reflective

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ The first word in the title of the paper must be edited to -"Importance" of. ✓ Please maintain consistency in font size & style throughout the paper which includes the references' section as well. ✓ You can create a tabular representation analysing the basic design concepts regarding the various relations outlined in the beginning of the paper that includes location, proportion, form, fabric, materials & so on. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ You can also add some recommendations for historical conservation measures based upon the outcomes & occupancy analysis for both of these historical buildings. ✓ You can merge the initial few topics to minimize repetition of thoughts and ideas thereby developing a more crisp and concise textual understanding. 	
Optional/General comments	The paper is very well written including several charts, facts and figures to discuss a very relevant subject of conservation of monuments. It presents a detailed observation of the declarations, charters and meetings and their respective outcomes to develop a standard system. The author has taken adequate care to select the right buildings and has described in good detail the significant renovation measures undertaken in them.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Antara Nandy
Department, University & Country	India