

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_85525
Title of the Manuscript:	URBAN DATA SCIENCE EDUCATION: A KEY ACTOR TOWARDS IMPROVING DATA- DRIVEN POLICY-MAKING FOR SOLVING URBAN PROBLEMS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -The Abstract should capture a comprehensive and concise overview of the entire paper.It has focused more on the findings and conclusions -Author can improve on the citations.Considering data mining is dynamically revolutionary and has shortlives.it is key to rely on more recent intext citations and references thereof. -Fig 1 on page 5-Step 3 should be review of related literature before collection of data -Need to provide relevance of the demographics and descriptive findings in Table 1 with the need for this research Page 7 - On Table 2-Responses from Likert scale are inconsistent -There is need to corroborate these findings with the literature reviewed earlier. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Update all references to be in APA referencing style as per the latest 7th edition -Was there need for more inferentials? I think it is necessary to test relationships 	
Optional/General comments	-a good paper that can be considered for publication	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Allan Kihara
Department, University & Country	The Catholic University of Eastern Africa, Kenya