

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_80944
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS INFLUENCING DISCLOSURE OF INTIMATE PARTNER VIOLENCE AMONG YOUNG AND MIDDLE AGED WOMEN (15-50 YEARS) IN SELECTED PRIMARY HEALTH CENTERS, OYO STATE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I appreciate the editor of this journal for the opportunity accorded to review this work.</p> <p>Also, I want to commend the efforts of the author and the knowledge displayed to enrich the body of knowledge in areas of violence against women in the society.</p> <p>Having said that, my comments on the manuscript are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The work is interesting and germane to the contemporary society in respect of violence against women, which developed nations are scowling against seriously. b. The level of disclosure shows the level of illiteracy and poor awareness as well as cultural factors that support the subjugation of women in most developing countries c. The methodology is not bad d. The reference is according to the format of the journal <p>Areas to be corrected.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The work does not have links with previous studies. In other words, no gaps in knowledge in previous works led to the discussion and it has to be corrected. b. Four objectives were put forward but two hypotheses were formulated and tested. What happens to the remaining two? c. Hypothesis one carried socio-demographic characteristics, whereas the objective did not reflect such, how come d. The literature review needs to be worked on. I think a section should be created for the following headings: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Intimate partner Violence 2. Disclosure of Intimate Partner Violence 3. Factors Influencing its disclosure e. Typographic errors such as recommended should be corrected f. Recommendation can be included in the abstract g. The study setting is Ibadan North-West Local Government Area, Oyo State. Thus, rights information on that should be provided <p>Thank you</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dare Ojo Omonijo
Department, University & Country	Olabisi Onabanjo University, Nigeria