

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_80874
Title of the Manuscript:	"They get away with murder": UK lecturers' frustration with students' use of 'essay mills,' and universities' nonchalance.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Thank you very much for this article. It was interesting reading it.</p> <p>It needs more clear structure of the abstract and on introduction and of the review of literature.</p> <p>About Methodology, Participants, Procedure - are important and you should include more details.</p> <p>Figure 6 is not very clear, please try to reorganize this.</p> <p>The comments of all those figures can be improved and be more specific.</p> <p>The chapter - Results – are not very detailed and the references to literature are not enough.</p> <p>Motives for cheating – at this chapter is not mentioned the sources. You present 3 motives but without references and is important to underly if this motives was mentioned in the lecturers comments and /or if this can be found alos in other researches (if yes must mention that researches& results).</p> <p>- at the chapter - Discussion and conclusion - I consider that the discussion should be a single chapter and the conclusion the very last chapter as well. But you include both in one chaper and is not substantial. Discoussion must present a critical perspeticive of the subject and also must make some references concerning the solutions for the future.</p> <p>Also can be mentionned at Recommendations solutions concerning phenomenon of contract-cheating and solutions target the improvement of students education in relation to the rules of academic writing and plagiarism as well.</p> <p>At the last chapter - Limitation and future directions - you mention you used in-depth qualitative study - for this you must prove with more details and I already mentioned this above.</p> <p>I advice you - literature review, because there is missing a strong section on review of relevant literature.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ana-Maria Bolborici
Department, University & Country	Transilvania University of Braşov, Romania