

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_79777
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Structured teaching programme to enhance the knowledge regarding menstruation & menstrual Hygiene among Adolescent Girls.
Type of the Article	Original: quasi-experimental study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The very relevant topic showed the gap and its importance and the acceptance of the material as part of the education program. The acronym WHO despite being known, important the first time it appears in the introduction, write it out in full, followed by the acronym. The discussion could improve, as it only exposed what is in the table. Include a comparison of the theme with other countries, precisely to reinforce the importance of investing in the subject with adolescents and especially in poor countries. References deserve updating and, if possible, increase the number by at least another 10 references to reinforce the basis in the literature.	
Minor REVISION comments	Observe spacing and bold marked in the text	
Optional/General comments	Relevant theme and coherent methodology	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Geisa Guimarães de Alencar
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Pernambuco, Brazil