

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_78153
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF INCLUSIVE PROGRAMS OF DISABLED ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT IN BUNGOMA NORTH SUB COUNTY
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>A statement drawn under the literature review is given as follows:</p> <p>“This research questions aim at influences of disability inclusion in community development of disability & existing chances in the contemporary society so as to get accessibility to holistic participatory development and also how available challenges are squarely dealt with and defeated.”</p> <p>The sentence reproduced in parenthesis is unclear. Specific research questions is to be raised. Examples: How community benefits by having a disability inclusive program? Or how do communities benefit by being inclusive? What are the factors that influence disability inclusion in a community? What is the importance of inclusion for development? What are the characteristics of an inclusive community? What is the difference between inclusion and inclusion practice? How is the term inclusion different from separation, seclusion, segregation, integration, and mainstraming? What are the levels of inclusion?</p> <p>INTRODUCTION is expected to “provide a factual background, clearly defined problem, proposed solution, a brief literature survey and the scope and justification of the work done.” The submission does not fit this format.</p> <p>There is no MATERIALS AND METHOD section at all.</p> <p>The section on RESULTS give tables on “Inclusive Programs of Disabled and Community Development.” Sampling details on who were the respondents, their number or socio-demographic characteristics, or how was sample size estimation done are not mentioned.</p> <p>The name or psychometric characteristics of the tool/s used in this study is NOT mentioned. Only four statements are given in table one. One statement is about PWDs contribute positively to society because they feel a sense of belonging (Informal Advocacy). The second statement is on enterpreunership when taught to PWDs improve their livelihood skills (Enterpreunership skills). The third statatement is on effective engagement of youth with disability enable access to funds (Affirmative Funds). The last statement is on mentorship programs being advantageous (Mentorship). From where or how only these four dimensions were derived? Is it part of some four-factor theory of disability inclusion measures or persons with disability and community development? One way of measuring disability and inclusion is in relation to the 2030 Agenda on Sustainable Development (Madans, Loeb & Eide, 2017).</p> <p>Madans, J., Loeb, M. E., & Eide, A. H. (2017). Measuring disability and inclusion in relation to the 2030 Agenda on Sustainable Development. Disability and the Global South, 4(1): 1164-1179.</p> <p>There is no mention of ethical issues being addressed.</p> <p>To sum the rather lengthy (341 word) CONCLUSION, it may be stated that the findings highlight how PWDs are (i) vulnerable to poverty; (ii) faced with very serious challenges in meeting their basic needs; (iii) not covered by enough social benefits and concessions; (iv) without jobs, self-employed or part of low-income employment group; and, (v) without mehanisms to compensate their decrease in incomes. What could have emerged in this section should be details on what is unique to BUNGOMA NORTH SUB COUNTY in Kenya as against other sub counties, other regions in the Republic of Kenya, or other places in the world. A situation-specific analysis could have shed light on such issues.</p> <p>The REFERENCE style expected for the JESBS is by numbering each citation in ascending</p>	

Review Form 1.6

	<p>order within brackets throughout the in-text of the manuscript. This is NOT FOLLOWED IN THE SUBMISSION. Examples of reference format are given clearly under INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS.</p> <p><u>For Published paper:</u></p> <p>1. Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98.</p> <p>Note: List the first six authors followed by et al.</p> <p>Use of DOI and unique identifiers is encouraged but missing in this article.</p> <p>Reference to a book:</p> <p><u>Personal author(s)</u> Rang HP, Dale MM, Ritter JM, Moore PK. Pharmacology. 5th ed. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone; 2003.</p> <p><u>Editor(s) or compiler(s) as authors</u> Beers MH, Porter RS, Jones TV, Kaplan JL, Berkwits M, editors. The Merck manual of diagnosis and therapy. 18th ed. Whitehouse Station (NJ): Merck Research Laboratories; 2006.</p> <p><u>Authored chapter in edited publication</u> Glennon RA, Dukat M. Serotonin receptors and drugs affecting serotonergic neurotransmission. In: Williams DA, Lemke TL, editors. Foye's principles of medicinal chemistry. 5th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2002.</p> <p>REFERENCES FROM WEB sources has a specific format which is not followed. Recent references are absent.</p>	
--	---	--

Review Form 1.6

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>	<p>A formal working definition of Disability Inclusion in Community Development is needed. It may be defined as a multi-sectoral approach for the inclusion of persons with disabilities in their local communities. It is a development strategy for inclusion, rights protection and promotion, and poverty reduction.</p> <p>ABSTRACT has 335 words. This is more than the prescribed limit of 250-300 words. Further, the INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS mandate that the abstract “should briefly describe the purpose of the work, techniques and methods used, major findings with important data and conclusions.” The SAMPLE ABSTRACT AS GIVEN has parts like aims, sample, study design, place and duration of the study, methodology, results, conclusion. These different sub-sections are missing.</p> <p>Two out of the five keywords given are already present in the title. Keywords should be outside the title.</p> <p>The parts in the submission under review has the following headings: 1.0 INTRODUCTION (1.1 Background of the Study; 1.2 Statement of the Problem), 2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW (2.1 Empirical Literature; 2.2 Theoretical Literature/ Framework), 3.0 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY, 4.0 RESULTS (With tables 1,2, and 3), Results-Discussion; 4.0 RECOMMENDATIONS; 5.0 CONCLUSION; 6.0 REFERENCES. Note that 4 is for RESULTS AS WELL AS RECOMMENDATIONS.</p> <p>GRAMMAR check showed a score of 46 per cent with errors related to missing articles, commas, and hyphens, incorrect sentence sequence (such as, “a handful attention given on” should be “handful of attention was given to...,” unnecessary plurals (as with “includes” for “include,” spelling errors (such as, “admission” for “admission,” and many others. A grammar corrected version of the manuscript is enclosed from where the author/s can move forward to improve their article.</p> <p>The length of 59 words in a single sentence within the abstract needs simplification. Example:</p> <p>“Mentality on disabled and disability treatment intimately connects with archaic cultural beliefs of humanity and society resonating to why this study and the researcher employed the approaches of understanding the contemporary inclusive programs and perception towards disability inclusion on community development and consequently analyse their influence and plight towards participatory development on various community developmental initiatives for sustainable development.”</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Needs correction and re-review</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Venkatesan S</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>All India Institute of Speech & Hearing, India</p>