

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_77217
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the extent of application of Competency Based Education and Training approach in classroom instruction in Technical and Vocational Education Training institutions in Kenya
Type of the Article	Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article must have discussion sector (perhaps sectors with results should be reorganized and some paragraphs excluded from this sector and included in discussion sector).</p> <p>The Conclusion must me be improved. Novel ISI/WOS references should be added.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The paper is well written. It has some contribution to existing literature, but the authors must explain in which way they research study adds value to existing knowledge. The introduction provides useful information and follows a logical format. For this type of paper (literature review), sources and numbers (references) are chosen with care. Adding some cutting-edge research and possibly novel ISI citations could even improve it.</p> <p>Some minor adjustments to the English language and style, as well as technical adjustments, are required, but I'm confident that these will be addressed during the proofreading process.</p> <p>The author(s) should add Discussion sector to obtain consistency in IMRaD form. Also, conclusion should be furtherer elaborated and developed. Authors have to follow to what extent their research aligns with other existing research, to what extent it brings different (new) elements and they also need to emphasize the novelty and usefulness of the research. Presented as is, it lacks of cogency. Also, the limits and future directions of research must be part of the Conclusions.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>English corrections in grammar and style should be made.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Milena Ilić P
Department, University & Country	University Business Academy in Novi Sad, Serbia