

## Review Form 1.6

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</a>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JESBS_76102  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Ontological Insecurity and Decision-Making with Black Swans and Dragon King Events as Precursors to Catastrophic Transition |
| Type of the Article      |   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

|                                     | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments |   |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In the Abstract of the paper, the innovation is not prominent enough. Please briefly introduce the innovation of this paper.</li> <li>2. In the Decision-making during Black Swan Events part, a brief description of "the herd behavior" can be given.</li> <li>3. In the Ontological Security and Insecurity, Revisited part, "Ontological Security" is not clearly defined.</li> <li>4. The format of the table 1 is a bit messy, please format the table.</li> <li>5. The part of COVID-19 Triggered Ontological Insecurity and Decision-Making and the part of Decision-making affected by COVID-19-triggered ontological insecurity can be combined into one part.</li> </ol> |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The content of the Abstract can be extended by highlight the contributions.</li> </ol>  |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Xingsi Xue</b>                             |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Fujian University of Technology, China</b> |