



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_68667
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of Preferences of the Respondents for the Payment for Environmental Services among the Rural Farming Households in Oyo State Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. In the contents of the abstract, it should be written with the title sentence and then the explanation starting from: Aims, Study Design, Place and Duration of Study, Methodology, Results, and Conclusion (according to the contents of the template provided).</p> <p>2. The contents of the paper, you should follow the systematic format of writing that applies starting from the chapter: 1. Introduction, 2. Methodology, 3. Results and Discussion, 4. Conclusions, etc (according to the contents of the template provided).</p> <p>3. In the chapter Introduction, describe payments for environmental services (PES) that can significantly reduce poverty levels in Nigeria through related research results?</p> <p>4. In the Methodology chapter. The total sample taken is good. Please explain, from a total sample of 395 respondents, how many respondents for literate farmers and non-literate farmers?</p> <p>5. In the methodology chapter. Please explain, the reasons why choosing farmer respondents who are literate and farmers who are not literate?</p> <p>6. In the methodology chapter. Please describe, the specifics for the analysis of what the data was obtained using?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	1. References added for the last 5 years.	
Optional/General comments	No	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asramid Yasin
Department, University & Country	Halu Oleo University, Indonesia