



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_65371
Title of the Manuscript:	Dynamics of Neo-Liberal Workplace and Employment Relations: Implications and Challenges to Social Partners.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Author(s)' should do a correction on these information: 1.The topic is "Dynamics of Neo-Liberal Workplace and Employment Relations: Implications and Challenges to Social Partners.". It would be the wrong format. Please check it. 2.Introduction – Please identify the research questions and the objectives of this study clearly. 3.Based on the topic, the second chapter would be dynamics of neo-liberal workplace and employment relations. But we see neo-liberal capitalism and employment relations. The author should make sure the chapter title and logically illustrated the terms. 4.The title of chapter 3 would be very strange. Supposed the authors want to perform the results of participative dialogue. Please reset the title. And some of the paragraph of this chapter were too long. It needed to correct. 5.Reference –please check the correct writing styles for journal. (ex. p.11 and p.12)	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The format was very messy. Please check it carefully.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tsai-Fa Yen
Department, University & Country	Anxi College of Tea Science Fujian, Agriculture and Forestry University, China