

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_84332
Title of the Manuscript:	Valoblock: A Thermally Resistant and Structurally Design and Enhanced Block
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>ABSTRACT:</b> About 250 words, contains phenomena, problems, research questions, methodology, objectives, and novelty results.</p> <p><b>INTRODUCTION:</b> Contains phenomena, problems, research questions, objectives, and prior research to prove the research novelty.</p> <p><b>RELATED WORKS:</b> Should be replaced with the relevant <b>THEORETICAL REVIEW</b> to find research indicators used to answer research questions.</p> <p><b>METHODOLOGY:</b> Describes the steps used in the research.</p> <p><b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:</b> Good enough, but need to follow methodological steps to be systematic.</p> <p><b>CONCLUSION:</b> Brief, straight to the conclusion that answers the research question and follows the title.</p> <p><b>REFERENCES:</b> The writing of citations and references must consistently use the applicable standards following the journal template, and only citations used are included in the references. It is recommended to use the "Mendeley" software or the "references: citations &amp; bibliography" facility in the Ms Word software.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>Serious Major revision</b>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fermanto Lianto
Department, University & Country	Universitas Tarumanagara, Indonesia