

## Review Form 1.6

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>             |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JERR_84113   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | In-Situ Precipitated Calcium Carbonate Paper Filler Material – A Review |
| Type of the Article      | Review Article  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|                                     | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p>All manuscript. Corrected from e.g. "[11,12]" to "[11-12]".</p> <p>Correct stylistic errors, missing and redundant periods and commas, format the text appropriately.</p> <p>There are no references to where they were taken from in the photos and schmars.</p> <p>BRIEF REVIEW OF THE STATE OF THE ART - should be included in the introduction</p> <p>The titles of chapters and subsections should refer to the content described in them, chapters such as 4.4.2. Patents should be changed accordingly. This chapter in the presented form does not contribute anything - present appropriate tables in a tabular form.</p> <p>The manuscript will be re-edited and reflect on its logic.</p> <p>Part of conclusion he repeats word for word with the introduction and abstract. Rewrite. Improve.</p> <p>In reference 2 publications from 2019, none from 2020-2021. The literature should be updated with the missing years.</p> |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | -  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    | -  |   |

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Adrian Krzysztof Antosik</b>                         |
| Department, University & Country | <b>West Pomeranian University of Technology, Poland</b> |