

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_83865
Title of the Manuscript:	MONITORING AND EVALUATION OF THE RELIABILITY OF DISTRIBUTION NETWORK WITH DISTRIBUTED GENERATION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1) Throughout the paper text can be found many obvious and typing mistakes. For example, already in the Abstract for the Ezenei is mentioned 11 kV and 11 KV. Correct is 11 kV. All such mistakes should be corrected throughout the paper text, because they appear in many places.</p> <p>2) In the Introduction should be placed and explained much more literature from this research field.</p> <p>3) All the Figures and Tables should be much better described. Please, put a description of each Figure and Table as close as possible to each exact Figure or Table. At the moment it seems that Figures and Tables are randomly placed in the paper in one place. The text and description as well as each Figure and Table should be continuous in the paper, therefore a much better paper arrangement is required.</p> <p>4) In the paper should be added a Nomenclature inside which will be listed and explained all abbreviations, symbols and markings used throughout the paper text and especially in the equations.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	<p>1) If possible, add in the paper the list and at least a short description of measurement equipment used for obtaining measurement results.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vedran Mrzljak
Department, University & Country	University of Rijeka, Croatia