

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_82108
Title of the Manuscript:	AN ASSESSMENT OF CAUSATIVE FACTORS OF BUILDING COLLAPSES USING DESTRUCTIVE AND NON-DESTRUCTIVE PHYSICAL ANALYSIS TESTS: A CASE-STUDY OF OKO, ANAMBRA STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1-Abstract: The results of the test carried out on the mass concrete, revealed a compressive strength ranging from 1.4N/mm² to 3.1N/mm². This may not be concrete material. check please.</p> <p>2-Abstract: No thing about the structural design or detailing in reinforcement!. Also, there is no specific findings about the causes of failure.</p> <p>3-Introduction needs to be re-written in few paragraph. Reduce the general discussions and focus on relevant studies referring to the causes of failure of buildings considered in such studies.</p> <p>4- Materials and methods: All detailing and dimensions of the building need to be shown (top views and sections).All detailing of footing, columns, beams and slabs need to be shown. All detailing of loadings need to be shown. The analysis and design of the building need to be shown.</p> <p>5-all standards and specifications adopted in tests and evaluation need to be clarified.</p> <p>6-Conclusions: efficient detailing for the building with results of (analysis and design) need to be clarified before conclusions to be addressed.</p> <p>7-More comments are included in the reviewer attachment.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1- The manuscript included several paragraphs of general discussion. Please re-write briefly. Check the manuscript thoroughly.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Title of manuscript is too long. Title that is more specific is recommended.</p>	

Comment [UoK1]: Check please!

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Qasim M. Shakir
Department, University & Country	University of Kufa, Iraq