

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_78268
Title of the Manuscript:	PERFORMANCE EVALUATION AND OPTIMIZATION OF A TWO HORSE POWER CONCENTRIC TUBE HEAT EXCHANGER
Type of the Article	Experimental Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Keywords Should be In Alphabetically Order, in Brief and Required more 2. Title should be include Research Methodology and Result Outcome Based 3. Check everywhere temperature value in corrected notations 4. Abbreviation and Nomenclature should be required 5. Citations of all references should be required in the whole article 6. Graphs should be needed for all results obtained 7. Experimental Set-up and all equipments photographs needed in required place 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Literature Review should be past 10 years 2. Some Efficiency calculations work required in the results and discussion section 3. Formatting of Letter used in the whole article 4. Use of Table word instead of Chart in the article 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Grammatical Mistake and The Papers needs language editing 2. Design Procedure Missing 3. Reference should be Required more 4. Conclusion should Be point wise 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yogesh Agrawal
Department, University & Country	Sagar Institute of Research & Technology, India