

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_77995
Title of the Manuscript:	Use of the Correlation Coefficient for Rotating Machine Monitoring
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1- Could you explain more section 3.2, please.2- The illustrative figures are not sufficient to have a final decision. Could you add more simulation figures, please.3- Please, try to explain more the extraction manner of the treated signal about fault type.4- Could you put the source of data of your work (test bench for example).5- Could you some information about the electrical machine, please.6- Try to put the healthy and the faulty condition to compare between them, please.7- Could you others reference, please.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	/	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Noureddine Bessous
Department, University & Country	EI-Oued University, Algeria