

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_77671
Title of the Manuscript:	Summary of Research on Magnetic Fluid and Its Inclination Sensor
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article is devoted to the actual topic of the manufacture and use of magnetic fluids for various practical applications. As intended by the authors, this is a review article on a very actual topic. The main disadvantage is the lack of citation of the results obtained by other authors. This makes it difficult to separate the original part of the article, in which the author's value judgments should be highlighted. All references on methods and devices in the text of the article should be accompanied by references to sources of information, which should confirm the technical sound of statements and conclusions. The text also contains a few lax grammatical forms that must be revised. For example, "This article briefly introduces the magnetic fluid, and then discusses the preparation method of the magnetic fluid and the application scenarios of the magnetic fluid". The statement: "With the advent of the 5G era, the application field of sensors will continue to expand", requires clarification or reference. The final assessment of the scientific significance of the article can be made after the elimination of the noted shortcomings.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> All references on methods and devices in the text of the article should be accompanied by references to sources of information, which should confirm the technical sound of statements and conclusions. The authors mention Mr. Su Shuqiang's sensor, but there is no reference.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alexander G. Yushchenko
Department, University & Country	National Technical University "Kharkiv Polytechnic Institute", Ukraine