

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_76436
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Normal Ratio Method and Distance Power Method for Estimating Missing Rainfall Data with Three Neighboring Stations
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Need one more reading and formatting the document before publish	
Minor REVISION comments	Better to write the heights (above mean sea level) of all four locations. Even a google image locating all four locations will help this study.	
Optional/General comments	Authors have taken two methods to find rainfall of nearby locations. Nice crisp clear short study. Authors could have done other more complicated methods available for rainfall extrapolation.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Basanta Kumar Samala
Department, University & Country	National University of Ireland Galway, Ireland