

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_87993
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact Of Socio-Economic Factors On The Perception Towards Solar Energy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: add at the end of the abstract one sentence about how age, gender, income and education affect citizens' perceptions of solar energy and upcoming technologies. [Data regarding the perceptions were collected using digital and printed surveys, these surveys were targeted to collect data regarding the opinions of people from different socioeconomic backgrounds. Measurable factors such as Income and professions were used to understand the socio-economic background of the respondents and a Likert scale was used to collect the data.] → the previous paragraph does not belong to the INTRODUCTION section. Move it to the Methodology section in the appropriate place (Research Design). Precisely list all the methods used, not only the survey method, but also the statistical methods and the graphical method, etc. A SMALL SAMPLE IS IN QUESTION 110 - 40 answers on paper, that in principle is not enough. The literature used is too modest, it is recommended to use scientific papers published in the last 2 to 3 years in recognized international scientific journals. Bauner and Crago, 2015, p. 31 - there is no reference at the end in the REFERENCES section. each citation in the text, which is taken over, must be related to the author of the used work (in brackets), and the reference in full format given at the end of the work in the References section, whether it is a short quote, paraphrase or reference to an idea. Also, Sener et al., 2018; Gimeno et al., 2018; – there is no reference at the end in the REFERENCES section. Rai et al., 2016, p. 502; Rai and Robinson, 2013; Rai and McAndrews, 2012 –there is no reference at the end in the REFERENCES section. Reference 7, given in full format. The paper has no significant scientific value, but since it is a student research and it is hinted that the research will continue, we give him the wind in his back to continue with serious research on alternative energy sources. In the Conclusion, decisively point out what is the benefit of this research, who could use these results usefully. Indicate the directions of future research on this current topic.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	/	
Optional/General comments	/	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Radivoj Prodanović
Department, University & Country	University Business Academy, Serbia