

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_86382
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of the potential for methane emissions caused by the livestock sector in the region of Kankan, Guinea
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The aim of this article is to evaluate the potential of methane emissions due to the livestock sector in the Administrative Region of Kankan.</p> <p>Although there are methane emission measurements from different regions in the literature, the region used in this study, Kankan, seems to have been used for the first time. But, some parts of the article need to be corrected.</p> <p>References are missing in some places in the introduction.</p> <p>Sentences are used long without reference.</p> <p>It would be more appropriate if the process shown in figure 1 was drawn by the authors in a way that shows the work done instead of obtaining it from another source.</p> <p>In figures 3, 4 and 5, the information is compared with other studies in the literature and the authors discuss the results. It should be stated with which supports the article was made.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The number of references should be increased by making better research on the literature.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The article is useful to the literature as it contains information that can help scientists working on the subject. So, the article can be published after editing.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Melih Onay
Department, University & Country	Van Yuzuncu Yil University, Turkey