

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_83162
Title of the Manuscript:	Renewable Energy Consumption and Economic Growth in Uganda
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Despite the analysis is interesting, the manuscript is more like a report rather than a standard technical paper. Moreover, the presentation, referencing, and use of English are very poor. It is strongly recommended to improve the above-mentioned aspects before acceptance.	
Minor REVISION comments	Few findings such as greater investment in clean energy generation enhance economic growth and reducing pollution are well known. The novel contributions and background literature should be explained more clearly.	
Optional/General comments	Instead of too many references, it is recommended include only key references.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akash Samanta
Department, University & Country	University of Ontario Institute of Technology, Canada